12-2014

The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF): A validation study on Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis

Amir H. Pakpour

Thomas G. Plante
Santa Clara University, tplante@scu.edu

Mohsen Saffari

Bengt Fridlund

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarcommons.scu.edu/psych>

Part of the [Alternative and Complementary Medicine Commons](#), [Catholic Studies Commons](#), [Clinical Psychology Commons](#), [Islamic Studies Commons](#), and the [Practical Theology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Pakpour, A. H., Plante, T. G., Saffari, M., & Fridlund, B. (2014). The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF): A validation study on Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 53 (6), 1885-1897.

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-014-9856-9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts & Sciences at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact rscroggin@scu.edu.

The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF):
A Validation Study on Iranian Muslim Patients Undergoing Dialysis

Amir H Pakpour ¹

Qazvin University of Medical Sciences

and

Thomas G. Plante ²

Santa Clara University

¹ Department of Public Health, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran.

Email: Pakpour_Amir@yahoo.com and apakpour@qums.ac.ir

² Psychology Department, Alumni Science Hall, Room 203, Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA. 95053-0333, email: tplante@scu.edu

Abstract

The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF) is an often used and validated scale that is uncommonly utilized in culturally diverse populations. The purpose of this research investigation was to adapt the SCSORF for use among Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis and examine the reliability and validity of the scale among this population.

Method: A total of 428 patients (228 females, 200 males, M age = 52.2 years, SD = 10) were selected from five dialysis center in Tehran and Qazvin, Iran. A comprehensive forward-backward translation system was used for cross-cultural translation. Patients completed a baseline questionnaire obtaining demographic and clinical information as well as the SCSORF, the Age Universal Religious Orientation Scale (AUROS), the Religious Life Inventory (RLI), and the Duke University Religion Index (DUREL). Two weeks later patients were asked to complete the SCSORF again. Reliability of the SCSORF was examined using internal consistency and test-retest reliability. Convergent validity and factor structure using exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) were also examined.

Results: Cronbach's α for the single construct of the SCSORF was 0.89 with test-retest reliability of the SCSORF was yielded acceptable over two weeks. The SCSORF scores were correlated significantly with AUROS, RLI and the DUREL. The EFA generated a single factor solution for the SCSORF while these results confirmed by the CFA in an independent sample.

Conclusion: Findings demonstrated that SCSORF has favorable reliability, convergent validity, and divergent validity among Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis and is recommended for use by clinicians (e.g., nephrologists) to measure strength of religious faith among patients.

Keywords: SCSORF, validity, religion, dialysis, Iran, Muslim

Religion and spirituality are integral elements of human behavior that comprises organizational and non-organizational affiliation dimensions [1]. Religious service attendance is considered an index of external or organizational religiosity while devoting to personal religious activities (e.g., prayer, meditation, beliefs, watching religious programs, reading sacred scripture such as the Bible) are recognized as potentially non-organizational or internal religiosity.

Denominational affiliations and differences are investigated in terms of theological, historical, or institutional specificity [2, 3]. Religion, defined by Walsh, is “an internal set of values- a sense of meaning, inner wholeness, and connection with others” [4]. The religion’s dimensions can direct human behavior in several important ways. Organizational and structural dimensions of religion can assist in the internationalization process of religious norms, can create a positive model for standard and ethical behavior, and can promote social networks among fellow congregants. These activities and roles can help direct religious people to choose healthy behaviors and minimize harmful behaviors such as alcohol consumption [5]. Non-organizational dimensions of religion (e.g., prayer) can also influence health behaviors [6]. For example, a recent study found that personal religious behaviors were associated with positive alcohol choices among college students [7]. This and other studies suggest that religious affiliations influence their followers from having multiple sexual partners, drinking alcohol and using recreational drugs [5, 8].

Many investigations have found that most people among both the general population and medical patients believe in a higher being and approximately 40% of the population attend religious services regularly [1, 9, 10]. Furthermore, most of medical patients believe that their spiritual health is important as well as their physical health [10, 11]. However, it is important to mention that the concepts of spirituality and religion often overlap but are separate and distinct.

Spirituality is defined as “an internal set of values- a sense of meaning, inner wholeness, and connection with others”[4]. Spirituality is a boarder concept than religion and regarded as an individual phenomenon and experiential process. Characteristics of spirituality comprise personal transcendence, supraconscious sensitivity, meaningfulness and purpose in living and values [12]. Therefore, spirituality is more abstract concept than religion and its measurement is often challenging as well [13].

Research suggests that that religion has a positive impact on health [14, 15] and that both mental and psychical health are impacted by religious beliefs [14-17]. Regarding mental health benefits, religion can provide positive coping strategies when dealing with stress. Furthermore, religiosity has been found to be a protective factor against depression, anxiety, and drug abuse [14, 15]. Additionally, patients with severe physical illnesses such as AIDS, cardiovascular disease, physical disability, cancer, and dialysis coped better with their condition when they report being religious [14, 15]. Research supports that longer illness survival rates, lower mortality rates, and improved quality of life often occur among patients with high religiosity [18].

For example, end stage renal disease (ESRD) is a failure of kidney function and considered as a serious chronic disease impacting many aspects of patient life [19]. ESRD imposes many physical and mental stresses [20]. Recently, studies have been shown the importance of the role of religion and spirituality in ESRD and their association with patient quality of life [20-24]. In a recent study religious beliefs served as a positive coping mechanism for patients with ESRD managing depression and the burdens of their illness as well as improved social support and quality of life [25]. Another study indicated that addressing religious belief as a component of palliative care for patients with ESRD is essential for treatment [26].

The prevalence of ESRD has been increasing in Iran from 238 in 2000 to 357 patients per million with approximately half of ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis (H.D) [[27]. Therefore, considering religiosity of patients with ESRD is important in terms of physician-patient relationship, quality of life, and coping. Several instruments have been developed to measure religiousness such as the Systems of Beliefs Inventory (SBI-15) [28], the Duke Religious Index (DUREL) [29] and the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF) [30]. These measures are brief, reliable, and valid ways to assess religiousness among diverse religious groups. However, the SCSORF measures strength of religious faith which is a construct not assessed by either the DUREL or the SBI-15. Strength of religious faith has been found to be associated with psychological functioning [31], coping with stress among immigrants [32], and recovering from substance abuse with better coping, resiliency to stress, and optimism [33]. The SCSORF was developed in 1997 and it has been used in several studies [34-37]. Furthermore, psychometric properties of the SCSORF have been established among college students and cancer patients [30, 34, 35]. However, these studies used participants from Europe and America and there are no studies on this scale from Asia to date. The SCSORF has not been used among Muslims and therefore applicability of the SCSORF for Muslims is unknown. The second-largest religion in the world following Christianity, is Islam and most Muslims resides in Asia [38]. The majority of Iranians are Muslims and speak Persian [38, 39]. Therefore, it is necessary to adopt a valid measure to assess strength of religious faith among Muslims. The study was aimed to translate and culturally adapt the SCSORF for use among Iranian Muslims patients undergoing dialysis.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted from February 2012 to October 2012. Participates were patients maintained on hemodialysis for ≥ 3 months and had been referred to dialysis centers for treatment. Patients were recruited from 6 dialysis centers in Tehran ($n = 4$) and Qazvin ($n = 2$). The inclusion criteria for the study included patients who were 18 years or older, were maintained on hemodialysis for ≥ 3 months, were clinically in stable condition, and had normal cognitive function [i.e., Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores ranging from 27 to 30], being able to understand Persian, and agreeing to participate in the study.

Measures

Demographic data, clinical characteristics and laboratory data

Information regarding demographic characteristics was obtained using an author developed self-report questionnaire. This questionnaire included items on age, gender, marital status, employment status, years of education, and accommodation. In addition to the demographic information, laboratory data obtained from routine analyses undertaken at the time of the study were included as well. This included information regarding hemoglobin (Hb), serum albumin and Kt/V as well as particular clinical characteristics such as weight, height, cause of kidney disease, and duration of hemodialysis.

Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSORF)

The SCSORF is a 10-item self report questionnaire designed to measure strength of religious faith. This measure was developed by Plante & Boccaccini and has been used widely in diverse population including college students [30, 40-42], breast cancer and cancer patients receiving bone marrow transplantation [35, 43], HIV cocaine-using patients [36] and patients with alcoholism [33]. All items scored on a Likert-type scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) and total score ranged from 10 to 40. Higher scores indicate strong strength of

faith. The original study revealed that the SCSORF was highly valid and reliable among college students [40].

Age Universal Religious Orientation Scale (AUROS)

The AUROS is a self-report questionnaire assessing religiosity. It is a revised version of the Religious Orientation Scale such that both the Intrinsic and Extrinsic subscales of religious orientation were retained. The AUROS includes 20 items and with each item using a 5-point Likert scale from 1 “strongly disagree” to 5 “strongly agree.” The items measures religiosity by examining two dimensions that include intrinsic (I) and extrinsic (E) religiosity. The original study indicated relatively acceptable internal consistency for the intrinsic and extrinsic dimensions. Cronbach’s alpha for the current study sample was .91 for intrinsic religiosity and .79 for extrinsic religiosity.

Religious Life Inventory (RLI)

The RLI was developed originally by Batson et al. to assess religious orientations [44, 45]. The RLI is based on Alport’s theory that faith may be intrinsically, extrinsically, or quest motivated [46]. It is a 32-item questionnaire that comprises of 3 subscales including extrinsic (11 items), intrinsic (9 items) and quest (12 items) dimensions of religiosity. Participants respond to the items on a 9-point Likert scale ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree”.

Duke University Religion Index (DUREL)

The DUREL was originally developed by Koenig et al. to measure religiosity across populations [29]. The DUREL is a 5-item measure and comprised 3 dimensions including: organizational religiosity (1 item), non-organizational religiosity (1 item), and intrinsic religiosity (3 items). Both organizational and non-organizational religiosity were scored on a 6-point Likert-type scale while intrinsic religiosity is scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale. Higher

scores indicate higher levels of religiosity. The DUREL has been translated into several languages including Persian. A study on Iranian Muslims revealed that the Persian version of the DUREL is highly valid and reliable for using [47].

Mini mental status examination (MMSE)

Since cognitive impairment is prevalent among patients undergoing dialysis, it was necessary to screen for dementia among these patients [48]. The MMSE is a standard and often used tool that takes 10-20 minutes to conduct. Studies have been shown that the MMSE may be related to age and level of education [49]. The MMSE is translated into several languages including Indian, French, Arabic, and Chinese. Research has found that the Iranian version of the MMSE was found to be valid and reliable [50].

Cultural adaptation

The aim of translation procedure was to produce equivalency of content between the source language (Persian) and the target language (English). Translation procedure was based on widely used guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures [51-53]. The SCSORF was originally published in English and was then translated into Persian by two bilingual translators. These translators were Iranian and fluent in English and Persian languages having experience living in an English language country. The translators performed independently. However, the translators faced a problem in terms of substitution of a term. The word, “church,” was replaced with the word, “mosque,” to improve cultural adaptation for Muslims. Afterwards, the translators along with project manager compared their translations. At this stage, discrepancies were resolved to reach a unified Persian version. The version of the SCSORF was then translated back into English language by two other translators who were unaware of the original version. Then, the project manager revised these translation and

compared them with the original version to eliminate any discrepancies. Next, a panel of 42 dialysis patients diverse in regards to age, gender, and educational background was assembled to clarify and refine the translated version. This step was performed to seek alternative wording and to check understandability, interpretation, and cultural relevance of the translated version. The results of this task were reviewed by the project manager and refined accordingly. Finally, the final version of the SCSORF was administered to 428 patients undergoing dialysis to evaluate psychometric properties.

Procedure

Participants completed the questionnaires in the dialysis centers. The questionnaires were distributed to the patients by two trained nurses. Clinical and laboratory data were also collected at the same time. The patients were asked to complete the same questionnaire two weeks after the initial assessment. All patients gave their oral and written consent before participating in the study. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Qazvin University of Medical Sciences (QUMS).

Statistical analysis

Reliability of the Iranian version of the SCSORF was tested by internal consistency and test-retest reliability. Internal consistency was analyzed using Cronbach's α . The Cronbach's alpha of 0.70 or greater indicate acceptable internal consistency among items [54]. Additionally, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to examine the test-retest reliability of the SCSORF. To assess stability (reproducibility) of the SCSORF, the test-retest method of reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was applied. The retest procedure was conducted two weeks after the initial test of the SCSORF. ICC values higher than 0.70 are considered acceptable [55].

Construct validity was assessed using correlation Pearson's product moment correlations among the SCSORF, AUROS, RLI and the DUREL. The construct validity was further examined by computing the intercorrelations among the SCSORF 's items [56].

In order to assess factor structure of the SCSORF, the full sample of patients were randomly split into two equal samples (Sample 1: $n = 214$, Sample 2: $n = 214$) using the random sample generation procedure offered by SPSS 18. Demographic characteristics, clinical data, and laboratory information of the two samples were compared to investigate potential differences between the two samples. For sample 1, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was performed while confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was used for sample 2. The aim of the EFA procedures was to generate an appropriate model and find latent variables for the given model. It is typically recommended to conduct the EFA procedure as a prerequisite to the CFA when there is no theoretical supposition. Therefore, the CFA was used for the validity of the factor structure obtained by the EFA [57].

For the EFA, Principal Components Analysis (PCA) with a Varimax rotation and a scree test were used to select factors for retention. Some preliminary analyses were performed to ensure accuracy of results the EFA. Sampling adequacy was checked using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure. It is recommended that a KMO value of ≥ 0.70 indicates acceptable sampling adequacy. Barlett's test of sphericity was also tested to explore whether the correlation was statistically different from zero by comparing the correlation matrix and identity matrix. A significant result of the Barlett's test of sphericity is consider acceptable and indicates variables to be correlated. The number of components was determined when eigenvalue exceeded 1.0 [58].

To investigate how well the results arose from the EFA fits, a CFA was conducted on the sample 2. Weighted least squares (WLS) was used for estimation method with the asymptotic covariance matrix.

The fit of data to the model was analyzed using the following indices: Chi-Square (χ^2), the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA), comparative fit index (CFI), the normal fit index (NFI), goodness-of-fit index (GFI) and adjusted goodness-of-fit (AGFI) index. However, the Chi-Square is not an intact index and is sensitive to sample size and with increasing sample size (generally above 200), the χ^2 statistic has a tendency to indicate a significant probability level. Contrary to this fact, the χ^2 statistic is tend to be non significant when the sample size is low (generally above 100). The recommended value for the CFI, NFI, GFI and AGFI is ≥ 0.90 . A value of ≥ 0.080 for the RMSEA is considered adequate model fit [59].

Results

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. The mean age for full sample of the patients was 52.2 (SD = 10 years). Of these patients, 228 (53.3%) were women. Most of the participants were married and living in the two target cities. The median duration of dialysis was 49 months (range 3–120). There were no statistical differences between the two samples (i.e. two split-half samples) on a variety of demographics, clinical and laboratory.

Cronbach's α for the single construct of the SCSORF was acceptable and exceed the recommended threshold of .70 (0.89).

The stability of the SCSORF over time was tested on the full sample of patients ($n = 428$). The ICC for the total score was 0.87 and thus superior to 0.70. Furthermore, Pearson

correlation coefficients of the two SCSORF measurements indicated that the SCSORF scores were stable /reproducible over times.

Construct validity was supported by the intercorrelations shown in Tables 3 and 4 between the SCSORF, AUROS, RLI and the DUREL. All the SCSORF's items were correlated significantly with each other (r ranged from 0.41 to 0.85, $p < .05$) and also with the total score (r ranged from 0.41 to 0.85, $p < .05$). Furthermore, as expected, the SCSORF was correlated strongly with DUREL subscales as well as the total score (r ranged from 0.49 to 0.73, $p < .01$).

The SCSORF's total score was significantly correlated with the intrinsic and extrinsic religious orientation using the AUROS's subscales (r ranged from 0.57 to 0.77, $p < .01$).

There were also significant correlations between the SCSORF's total score and measure of the extrinsic, intrinsic and quest orientations of religiosity (RLI) demonstrating convergent validity of the SCSORF (r ranged from 0.60 to 0.74, $p < .01$).

To evaluate the factor structure of the SCSORF, an EFA was performed. Results of EFA with rotations are presented in the Table 5. All of the prerequisites of the EFA were confirmed before considering the EFA results. Bartlett's test of sphericity was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 3.74$, $df = 45$, $p < .0001$) and indicated a correlation between the variables. The KMO measure of sampling adequacy was 0.93 demonstrating acceptable sampling adequacy to carrying out a PCA. As the scree plot indicated in Figure 1, results arose from the EFA suggested a single component solution for sample 1. Single factor with eigenvalue greater than 1.0 was extracted which accounted for 78.37% of the variance. Item loadings ranged from 0.80 to 0.92 (see Table 5).

The above mentioned single structure of the SCSORF was tested using the CFA in a new sample of patients undergoing dialysis (sample 2). This model showed good fit to the data $\chi^2 =$

67.38 ($df = 35$, $p=0.001$); GFI= 0.96; AGFI= 0.90, CFI=0.99, NFI=0.99; RMSEA =0.074. Figure 2 shows the results of CFA. The standardized regression coefficients ranged from 0.16 to 0.92.

Discussion

Religion is considered as an important part of care for patients undergoing dialysis. Religious beliefs are strongly associated with patient life satisfaction, quality of life, self control, dialysis adherence and clinical outcomes [20, 21, 24, 60, 61]. Furthermore, renal replacement therapy, as a treatment for ESRD, is impacted by religion as well. Generally, Islam permits organ transplants. However, the Islamic point of view considers the process of organ transplantation should not harm donors. It is stated in the Quran (the central religious text of Islam) “Unless it be for murder or for spreading mischief in the land – it would be as if he slew the whole people: and if any one saved a life, it would be as if he saved the life of the whole people.” Therefore, for saving life, organ donation is acceptable. However, some common beliefs among those in particular Islamic countries (most especially in India) presume that Islam prohibits organ donation [62]. Therefore, nephrologists should be aware not only of treatment approaches in dialysis but also to the many resulting psychosocial and spiritual problems that severe kidney disease may entail[63].

This is the first study evaluating the psychometric properties of the SCSORF among Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis. In general, this study showed that the Persian version of the SCSORF was highly reliable and valid for use among patients undergoing dialysis. All patients found the Persian SCSORF to be highly understandable and acceptable. The average time taken to complete the scale was 6 minutes. The SCSORF was internally consistent and similar to relevant studies which suggest a range of values between 0.94-0.97 and 0.90-0.96 for Cronbach Alpha and split-half reliability, respectively [30, 40-42]. The SCSORF is used scarcely

in clinical samples however; in a study on breast cancer revealed that the SCSORF had highly homogeneous items (i.e. internal consistency) [34]. Similar results were found in patients receiving bone marrow transplant [35].

The constancy of the SCSORF scores over time was assessed using a test-retest method. The results indicated that the Persian version of the SCSORF was highly stable over the short time interval. Our results were in accordance with other studies as well [34, 41].

The pattern of correlations between the SCSORF scores, the AUROS, the RLI and the DUREL revealed that the SCSORF is closely correlated with other quality religious faith instruments. This supported our expectation that the SCSORF convergently valid. In this study, the strongest correlations were found between the SCSORF and internal religiousness. The original version of the SCSORF did not find a significant correlation between the SCSORF, the AUROS extrinsic dimension and the RLI external scale for younger participants [30]. A potential reason is that age may moderate the SCSORF and extrinsic religiousness relationship. Elderly people spend more time to mourn the death of their spouse, friends, family members, and colleagues than typically for younger people. Therefore, it is likely that older people may be more involved in social activities rather than spiritual ones [30].

The factor analyses suggested that a one-factor solution was meaningful and had good simple structure. The factor structure of the SCSORF was not measured in the original study [30]. However, our results are consistent with previous studies with the English version of the SCSORF that have also reported single-factor solution [41, 64, 65].

The current study had several important limitations. First, the study comprised of a convenience sample of patients undergoing dialysis who had been referred to dialysis centers. Therefore, the generalizability of the results to other clinical and non-clinical samples is in

question. Furthermore, the study included patients who were older with mean ages in the 50s. The applicability of the SCSORF for patients who are younger is unknown. The stability of the SCSORF was examined within a relatively short time frame. Future studies should assess score changes on the SCSORF over a longer time frame.

Overall, these findings demonstrate that the SCSORF has favorable reliability, convergent validity, and divergent validity among Iranian Muslim patients undergoing dialysis and it is recommended for use by clinicians (perhaps especially nephrologists) to measure strength of religious faith among their patients.

Tables

Table 1: Demographic characteristics and clinical variables of two half samples (n=428).

Variables	Half sample 1 (n=214)	Half sample 2 (n=214)	Total (n=428)
Age (M,SD)	51.78±10.42	52.65±9.76	52.21±10.09
Sex			
Male	101 (47.2%)	99 (46.3%)	200 (46.7%)
female	113 (52.8%)	115 (53.7%)	228 (53.3%)
Marital status			
Single	34 (15.9%)	25 (42.4%)	59 (13.8%)
Married	116 (54.2%)	135 (53.8%)	251 (58.6%)
Widowed	62 (29.0%)	53 (24.8%)	115 (26.9%)
Divorced	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.5%)	3 (0.7%)
Occupation			
Employed	24 (11.2%)	32 (15.0%)	56 (13.1%)
Unemployed	190 (88.8%)	182 (85.0%)	372 (86.9%)
Years of education	8.16±4.52	8.44±4.66	8.30±4.59
Location			
City	201 (93.9%)	205 (95.8%)	406 (94.9%)
Rural	13 (6.1%)	9 (4.2%)	22 (5.1%)
Duration of hemodialysis (months)	48.46±46.86	50.33±53.65	49.39±50.32
Body Mass Index (BMI)	25.47±3.84	25.16±3.92	25.32±3.88
Kt/v [(BUNpre-BUNpost)/BUNpre]×100	1.34±0.33	1.30±0.29	1.32±0.31
Serum albumin (g/dl)	3.96±0.65	3.90±0.67	3.93±0.66
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	11.09±1.69	10.92±1.80	11.01±1.75
cause of kidney disease			
Diabetes mellitus	109 (50.9%)	104 (48.6%)	213 (49.8%)
Hypertension	70 (32.7%)	70 (48.6%)	140 (32.7%)
Glomerulonephritis	20 (9.3%)	18 (8.4%)	38 (8.9%)
Other	15 (7.0%)	22 (10.3%)	37 (8.6%)

Table 2. Mean (S.D.) and Reliability of the Iranian version of the SCSORF among patients undergoing dialysis (n=428)

	ICC (95% CI)	<i>r</i>	Coefficient alpha	Mean (SD)
SCSORF Total score	0.87 (0.84-0.89)*	0.97*	0.89	35.57(4.13)

Note; P<0.05

ICC = Intra-class correlation coefficients with 95% Confidence Interval

Table 3. Intercorrelations among SCSORF Items for patients undergoing dialysis (n= 428)

Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	SCSORF Total score
1	-	0.69	0.74	0.66	0.55	0.68	0.64	0.53	0.68	0.53	0.75
2		-	0.69	0.67	0.59	0.70	0.68	0.56	0.75	0.48	0.73
3			-	0.89	0.61	0.81	0.66	0.60	0.73	0.64	0.84
4				-	0.51	0.71	0.64	0.62	0.63	0.61	0.80
5					-	0.42	0.48	0.44	0.41	0.47	0.48
6						-	0.73	0.55	0.77	0.71	0.82
7							-	0.68	0.85	0.70	0.75
8								-	0.72	0.63	0.70
9									-	0.68	0.77
10										-	0.74
SCSORF Total score											-

*All $P < 0.01$

Intercorrelations of 10 items of the SCSORF . Categories of the correlations are; small (.10), medium (.30), and large (.50).

Table 4. Correlations for SCSORF , AUROS and RLI

	SCSORF total score OR
OR	0.49
NOR	0.54
Intrinsic	0.57
DUREL Total score	0.73
AUROS	
Extrinsic	0.57
Intrinsic	0.77
RLI	
External	0.60
Internal	0.74
Quest	0.66

Religious Life Inventory (RLI), Age Universal Religious Orientation Scale (AUROS)

Table 5. Factor Loadings from the Principal Component Analysis for the SCSORF (n=214)

	Factor loading
Q1	0.85
Q2	0.89
Q3	0.91
Q4	0.87
Q5	0.80
Q6	0.92
Q7	0.92
Q8	0.86
Q9	0.91
Q10	0.87

Figure 1. Factor analysis' scree plot (n=214)

Figure 2. Confirmatory factor analysis showing the one-factor structure of the SCSORF among Iranian patients undergoing dialysis

References

1. Lukoff, D., F.G. Lu, and R. Turner, *Cultural considerations in the assessment and treatment of religious and spiritual problems*. Psychiatr Clin North Am, 1995. **18**(3): p. 467-85.
2. Levin, J.S., R.J. Taylor, and L.M. Chatters, *A multidimensional measure of religious involvement for African Americans*. The Sociological Quarterly 1995. **36**: p. 157–173.
3. Stark, R. and C.Y. Glock, *American piety*. 1968, Berkeley: University of California Press.
4. Walsh, F., *Beliefs, Spirituality, and Transcendence: Keys to Family Resilience*, in *Re-visioning family therapy: race, culture, and gender in clinical practice*, M. McGoldrick, Editor. 1998, Guilford: New York. p. 62-89.
5. Cochran, J.K., L. Beeghley, and E. Bock, *Religiosity and alcohol behavior: An exploration of reference group theory*. Sociological Forum, 1988. **3**: p. 256–276.
6. Levin, J.S. and R.J. Taylor, *Age differences in patterns and correlates of the frequency of prayer*. Gerontologist, 1997. **37**(1): p. 75-88.
7. Ellison, C.G., et al., *Religion and alcohol use among college students: Exploring the role of domain-specific religious salience*. Journal of Drug Issues, 2008. **38**: p. 821-846.
8. Burdette, A.M., et al., *Are there religious variations in marital infidelity?* Journal of Family Issues, 2007. **28**: p. 1553–1581.
9. Gallup, G.J. and J. Castelli, *The people's religion: American faith in the 90s*. 1989, New York: Macmillan.
10. King, D.E. and B. Bushwick, *Beliefs and attitudes of hospital inpatients about faith healing and prayer*. J Fam Pract, 1994. **39**(4): p. 349-52.
11. Ehman, J.W., et al., *Do patients want physicians to inquire about their spiritual or religious beliefs if they become gravely ill?* Arch Intern Med, 1999. **159**: p. 1803-6.

12. Emblen, J.D., *Religion and spirituality defined according to current use in nursing literature*. J Prof Nurs, 1992. **8**: p. 41-7.
13. Cheever, K.H., et al., *Surgeons and the spirit: a study on the relationship of religiosity to clinical practice*. J Relig Health, 2005. **44**(1): p. 67-80.
14. Aukst-Margetic, B. and B. Margetic, *Religiosity and health outcomes: review of literature*. Coll Antropol, 2005. **29**(1): p. 365-71.
15. Coruh, B., et al., *Does religious activity improve health outcomes? A critical review of the recent literature*. Explore (NY), 2005. **1**(3): p. 186-91.
16. Cohen, C.I., C. Jimenez, and S. Mittal, *The role of religion in the well-being of older adults with schizophrenia*. Psychiatr Serv, 2010. **61**(9): p. 917-22.
17. Koenig, H.G., *Research on religion, spirituality, and mental health: a review*. Can J Psychiatry, 2009. **54**(5): p. 283-91.
18. Basinski, A., et al., *Influence of Religiosity on the Quality of Life and on Pain Intensity in Chronic Pancreatitis Patients After Neurolytic Celiac Plexus Block: Case-Controlled Study*. J Relig Health.
19. Pakpour, A.H., et al., *Health-related quality of life in a sample of Iranian patients on hemodialysis*. Iran J Kidney Dis. **4**(1): p. 50-9.
20. Davison, S.N. and G.S. Jhangri, *Existential and religious dimensions of spirituality and their relationship with health-related quality of life in chronic kidney disease*. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2010. **5**(11): p. 1969-76.
21. Finkelstein, F.O., et al., *Spirituality, quality of life and the dialysis patient*. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2007. **22**(9): p. 2432-4.

22. Reig-Ferrer, A., et al., *Evaluation of spiritual well-being in haemodialysis patients*. Nefrologia, 2012. **32**(6): p. 731-742.
23. Davison, S.N. and G.S. Jhangri, *The Relationship Between Spirituality, Psychosocial Adjustment to Illness, and Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease*. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2012.
24. Kimmel, P.L., et al., *ESRD patient quality of life: symptoms, spiritual beliefs, psychosocial factors, and ethnicity*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2003. **42**(4): p. 713-21.
25. Patel, S.S., et al., *Psychosocial variables, quality of life, and religious beliefs in ESRD patients treated with hemodialysis*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2002. **40**(5): p. 1013-22.
26. Elliott, B.A., et al., *Religious beliefs and practices in end-stage renal disease: implications for clinicians*. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2012. **44**(3): p. 400-9.
27. Mahdavi-Mazdeh, M., M. Zamyadi, and M. Nafar, *Assessment of management and treatment responses in haemodialysis patients from Tehran province, Iran*. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2008. **23**(1): p. 288-93.
28. Holland, J.C., et al., *A brief spiritual beliefs inventory for use in quality of life research in life-threatening illness*. Psychooncology, 1998. **7**(6): p. 460-9.
29. Koenig, H., G.R. Parkerson, Jr., and K.G. Meador, *Religion index for psychiatric research*. Am J Psychiatry, 1997. **154**(6): p. 885-6.
30. Plante, T.G. and M. Boccaccini, *Reliability and validity of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire*. Pastoral Psychology, 1997. **45**: p. 429-437.
31. Plante, T.G., et al., *The Association Between Strength of Religious Faith and Psychological Functioning*. Pastoral Psychology, 2000. **48**: p. 405-412.

32. Plante, T.G., et al., *Coping with stress among Salvadoran immigrants*. Hispanic J Beha Sci, 1995. **17**: p. 471–479.
33. Pardini, D., et al., *Religious faith and spirituality in substance abuse recovery: Determining the mental health benefits*. J. Subst. Abuse. Treat, 2000. **19**: p. 347-354.
34. Sherman, A.C., et al., *Measuring religious faith in cancer patients: reliability and construct validity of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith questionnaire*. Psychooncology, 2001. **10**(5): p. 436-43.
35. Sherman, A.C., et al., *Assessing religious faith in medical patients: Cross-validation of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire*. Pastoral Psychology, 1999. **48**: p. 129-142.
36. Avants, S.K., et al., *Spiritual beliefs, world assumptions, and HIV risk behavior among heroin and cocaine users*. Psychol. Addict. Behav, 2003. **17**: p. 159-162.
37. Plante, T.G., *The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire: Assessing faith engagement in a brief and nondenominational manner*. Religions,, 2010. **1**: p. 3-8.
38. Kettani, H. *2010 World Muslim Population*. in *Proceedings of the 8th Hawaii International Conference on Arts and Humanities*. 2010. Hawaii.
39. Ebrahimnejad, H., *Religion and medicine in Iran: From relationship to dissociation*. History of Science, 2002. **40**: p. 91–112.
40. Plante, T.G. and M.T. Boccaccini, *The Santa Clara strength of religious faith questionnaire*. Pastoral Psychology, 1997. **45**: p. 375–387.
41. Plante, T.G., et al., *The development of a brief version of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire*. Pastoral Psychology, 2002. **50**: p. 359-368.

42. Plante, T.G., et al., *Further validation for the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire*. Pastoral Psychology, 1999. **48**: p. 11-21.
43. Sherman, A.C., et al., *Measuring religious faith in cancer patients: Reliability and construct validity of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire*. Psycho-Oncol, 2001: p. 436-443.
44. Batson, C.D. and P.A. Schoenrade, *Measuring religion as quest; (2) reliability concerns*. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 1991. **30**: p. 430-447.
45. Batson, C.D., P. Schoenrade, and W.L. Ventis, *Religion and the individual*. 1993, New York: Oxford University Press.
46. Allport, G.W. and J.M. Ross, *Personal religious orientation and prejudice*. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1976. **5**: p. 432-443.
47. Saffari, M., et al., *Psychometric Properties of the Persian Version of the Duke University Religion Index (DUREL): A Study on Muslims*. J Relig Health.
48. Dahbour, S.S., A.M. Wahbeh, and M.Z. Hamdan, *Mini mental status examination (MMSE) in stable chronic renal failure patients on hemodialysis: The effects of hemodialysis on the MMSE score. A prospective study*. Hemodial Int, 2009. **13**(1): p. 80-5.
49. Crum, R.M., et al., *Population-based norms for the Mini-Mental State Examination by age and educational level*. Jama, 1993. **269**(18): p. 2386-91.
50. Ansari, N.N., et al., *Validation of a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for the Persian population: a pilot study*. Appl Neuropsychol. **17**(3): p. 190-5.
51. Beaton, D.E., et al., *Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures*. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2000. **25**(24): p. 3186-91.

52. Guillemin, F., C. Bombardier, and D. Beaton, *Cross-cultural adaptation of health-related quality of life measures: literature review and proposed guidelines*. J Clin Epidemiol, 1993. **46**(12): p. 1417-32.
53. Wild, D., et al., *Principles of Good Practice for the Translation and Cultural Adaptation Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures: report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation*. Value Health, 2005. **8**(2): p. 94-104.
54. Nunnally, J., *Psychometric theory*. 1978, New York: McGraw Hill.
55. Shrout, P.E. and J.L. Fleiss, *Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability*. Psychological Bulletin, 1979. **86**: p. 420–428.
56. Pedhazur, E.J. and L.P. Schmelkin, *Measurement, Design, and Analysis: An Integrated Approach*. 1991, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
57. Haig, B.D., *Exploratory factor analysis, theory generation, and scientific method*. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2005. **40**: p. 303–329.
58. Bowling, A., *The principles of research*, in *Research methods in health: investigating and health services*. 2002, University Press: Philadelphia.
59. Schumacker, R.E. and R.G. Lomax, *A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling*. 2th ed. 2004, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
60. Rounding, K., et al., *Religion replenishes self-control*. Psychol Sci, 2012. **23**(6): p. 635-42.
61. Spinale, J., et al., *Spirituality, social support, and survival in hemodialysis patients*. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2008. **3**(6): p. 1620-7.
62. Mani, M.K., *The management of end-stage renal disease in India*. Artif Organs, 1998. **22**(3): p. 182-6.

63. Valcanti, C.C., et al., *[Religious/spiritual coping in people with chronic kidney disease undergoing hemodialysis]*. Rev Esc Enferm USP, 2012. **46**(4): p. 838-45.
64. Lewis, C.A., et al., *The Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire: Confirmatory Factor Analysis*. Pastoral Psychology, 2001. **49**: p. 379-384.
65. Storch, E.A., et al., *Psychometric Investigation of the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith questionnaire—Short-Form*. Pastoral Psychology, 2004. **52**: p. 479-483.