

Santa Clara University

Scholar Commons

Mathematics and Computer Science

College of Arts & Sciences

2021

On Bakhvalov-type meshes for a linear convection-diffusion problem in 2D

Thái Ahn Nhan

Santa Clara University, anhan@scu.edu

Vinh Quang Mai

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.scu.edu/math_compsci



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Nhan, T. A., & Mai, V. Q. (2021). On Bakhvalov-type meshes for a linear convection-diffusion problem in 2D. *Mathematical Communication* 26 (2021), 121–130.

This journal provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge. Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts & Sciences at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Computer Science by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact rscroggin@scu.edu.

On Bakhvalov-type meshes for a linear convection-diffusion problem in 2D

THÁI ANH NHAN^{1,*} AND VINH QUANG MAI²

¹ *Department of Mathematics and Science, Holy Names University, 3500 Mountain Blvd., Oakland, CA 94619, USA*

² *Division of Applied Mathematics, Thu Dau Mot University, 6 Tran Van On Street, Thu Dau Mot City, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam*

Received September 29, 2020; accepted January 20, 2021

Abstract. For singularly perturbed two-dimensional linear convection-diffusion problems, although optimal error estimates of an upwind finite difference scheme on Bakhvalov-type meshes are widely known, the analysis remains unanswered (Roos and Stynes in *Comput. Meth. Appl. Math.* 15 (2015), 531–550). In this short communication, by means of a new truncation error and barrier function based analysis, we address this open question for a generalization of Bakhvalov-type meshes in the sense of Boglaev and Kopteva. We prove that the upwind scheme on these mesh modifications is optimal first-order convergence, uniformly with respect to the perturbation parameter, in the discrete maximum norm. Furthermore, we derive a sufficient condition on the transition point choices to guarantee that our modified meshes can preserve the favorable properties of the original Bakhvalov mesh.

AMS subject classifications: 65L10, 65L12, 65L20, 65L70

Key words: singular perturbation, convection-diffusion, upwind difference scheme, Bakhvalov-type meshes

1. Introduction

We consider an upwind difference method for solving the singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}u := -\varepsilon\Delta u - b_1(x, y)u_x - b_2(x, y)u_y + c(x, y)u &= f(x, y) & \text{on } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ε is a small positive perturbation parameter, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. We assume that the functions b_1 , b_2 , c and f are sufficiently smooth functions, and $b_1(x, y) > \beta_1 > 0$, $b_2(x, y) > \beta_2 > 0$, $c(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$, and that they satisfy the compatibility conditions guaranteeing that problem (1) has a unique solution u in some suitable normed space [8, Theorem 5.1]. Then, u typically exhibits two exponential layers along the sides $x = 0$ and $y = 0$.

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* nhan@hnu.edu (T. A. Nhan), vinhmq@tdmu.edu.vn (V. Q. Mai)

It is well known that for higher-dimensional convection-dominated problems like (1), uniform convergence analysis of finite-difference and finite-element methods on Bakhvalov-type meshes is usually more challenging than on Shishkin-type meshes (cf. [14, Section 4] for a precise definition of these layer-fitted meshes and for related open problems). For example, in [13] and recently in [17, 18], new interpolation techniques are derived to prove optimal convergence results on Bakhvalov-type meshes when finite-element methods are applied to the one-dimensional analogue of (1); but no similar result is known in 2D [14, Question 7]. For finite-difference discretizations applied on Bakhvalov-type meshes, while optimal error estimates and analysis, proven by several different techniques (see, for instance, [3, 6, 10, 11, 16]), are well known in 1D, the following question [14, Question 6] remains open in 2D for certain Bakhvalov-type meshes:

For an upwind finite-difference method applied on a Bakhvalov-type mesh to the convection-diffusion problem (1), can one prove a discrete maximum norm convergence result

$$\max_{0 \leq i, j \leq N} |u(x_i, y_j) - u_{ij}^N| \leq CN^{-1}?$$

Here N mesh intervals are used in each coordinate direction, and u_{ij}^N denotes the computed solution at the point (x_i, y_j) . From this point on, we use C to denote a generic positive constant independent of ε and N .

The very first attempt to answer the above challenge problem is given in [12] for which the Bakhvalov mesh [1] is considered. However, the construction of this mesh results in an implicitly defined transition point which is a main drawback in calculations. There are other modifications of the Bakhvalov mesh proposed in the research literature to resolve this issue with more relaxed transition points, and yet, the convergence order of the upwind scheme on these Bakhvalov-type meshes remains the same.

Our goal in this short article is to address the aforementioned open question for a mild generalization of Bakhvalov-type meshes of Boglaev [2] and Kopteva [3, 4]. These modifications replace an implicitly defined transition point of the original Bakhvalov mesh with an explicitly predefined one. The advantage of our modified transition point variants is the simplicity of calculation (and analysis as we shall show), while the grid obtained still enjoys the favorable properties of the Bakhvalov mesh.

In the next section, we list some preliminary facts about the solution u of problem (1) and introduce the upwind scheme for discretizing the problem. We propose a mild generalization of Boglaev and Kopteva's Bakhvalov-type meshes and discuss a sufficient condition to guarantee the grading properties for the proposed meshes in Section 3. Then, in Section 4, we apply the novel error analysis given in [12], which is specially tailored for the Bakhvalov mesh, to obtain the main convergence result. Finally, we finish with a concluding remark in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

The following decomposition of u [5, Lemma 1] is often used in error analysis of finite-difference methods for (1):

$$u = S + E_1 + E_2 + E_{12},$$

where, for all $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$, we have

$$\|S\|_2 \leq C, \quad (2)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+\ell} E_1}{\partial x^k \partial y^\ell}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-k} e^{-\beta_1 x/\varepsilon}, \quad (3)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+\ell} E_2}{\partial x^k \partial y^\ell}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-\ell} e^{-\beta_2 y/\varepsilon}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+\ell} E_{12}}{\partial x^k \partial y^\ell}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-(k+\ell)} e^{-(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)/\varepsilon}, \quad (5)$$

for $0 \leq k + \ell \leq 3$, and $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the supremum norm in $C^2(\Omega)$. Furthermore,

$$|\mathcal{L}E_1(x, y)| \leq C e^{-\beta_1 x/\varepsilon}, \quad (6)$$

$$|\mathcal{L}E_2(x, y)| \leq C e^{-\beta_2 y/\varepsilon}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{L}E_{12}(x, y)| \leq C e^{-(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)/\varepsilon}. \quad (8)$$

Let N be an even positive integer and let $\Omega^N = \{(x_i, y_j) : i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ be the discretization mesh, where the mesh-point coordinates x_i and y_j satisfy

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_N = 1.$$

We denote $\Gamma^N = \Gamma \cap \Omega^N$, and also set $h_{x,i} = x_i - x_{i-1}$, $\bar{h}_{x,i} = (h_{x,i+1} + h_{x,i})/2$ and $h_{y,j} = y_j - y_{j-1}$, $\bar{h}_{y,j} = (h_{y,j+1} + h_{y,j})/2$. We set $g_{ij} = g(x_i, y_j)$ for any function g , while g_{ij}^N denotes an approximation of g at the point (x_i, y_j) . Given a mesh function $\{w_{ij}^N\}$ on Ω^N , we discretize problem (1) by the standard upwind scheme as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^N w_{ij}^N &:= (-\varepsilon(D_x^2 + D_y^2) - b_{1,ij}D_x^+ - b_{2,ij}D_y^+ + c_{ij}) w_{ij}^N = f_{ij} \quad \text{on } \Omega^N \setminus \Gamma^N, \\ w_{ij}^N &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma^N, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D_x^2 w_{ij}^N &= \frac{1}{\bar{h}_{x,i}} (D_x^+ w_{ij}^N - D_x^- w_{ij}^N), & D_y^2 w_{ij}^N &= \frac{1}{\bar{h}_{y,j}} (D_y^+ w_{ij}^N - D_y^- w_{ij}^N), \\ D_x^- w_{ij}^N &= \frac{w_{ij}^N - w_{i-1,j}^N}{h_{x,i}}, & D_x^+ w_{ij}^N &= \frac{w_{i+1,j}^N - w_{i,j}^N}{h_{x,i+1}}, \\ D_y^- w_{ij}^N &= \frac{w_{ij}^N - w_{i,j-1}^N}{h_{y,j}}, & D_y^+ w_{ij}^N &= \frac{w_{i,j+1}^N - w_{i,j}^N}{h_{y,j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We split \mathcal{L}^N into $\mathcal{L}_x^N + \mathcal{L}_y^N$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_x^N w_{ij}^N = (-\varepsilon D_x^2 - b_{1,ij} D_x^+ + c_{ij}) w_{ij}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_y^N w_{ij}^N = (-\varepsilon D_y^2 - b_{2,ij} D_y^+) w_{ij}^N.$$

The matrix associated with the discrete operator \mathcal{L}^N is an M -matrix. Therefore, the discrete comparison principle holds true [5, Lemma 6]. We also need the following standard truncation-error bounds for the discrete operator \mathcal{L}^N .

Lemma 1 (see [5], Lemma 8). *Let $g(x, y)$ be a smooth function defined on Ω . Then the following estimates for the truncation error hold:*

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N g_{ij} - (\mathcal{L}_x g)_{ij} \right| \leq C (\varepsilon + h_{x,i} + h_{x,i+1}) \max_{\zeta \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]} \left| \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}(\zeta, y_j) \right|,$$

and

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N g_{ij} - (\mathcal{L}_x g)_{ij} \right| \leq C \left(\varepsilon \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \left| \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x^3}(\zeta, y_j) \right| d\zeta + \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \left| \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}(\zeta, y_j) \right| d\zeta \right),$$

for $0 < i, j < N$, with analogous estimates for $\left| \mathcal{L}_y^N g_{ij} - (\mathcal{L}_y g)_{ij} \right|$.

3. Bakhvalov-type meshes

The mesh-generating function λ of Bakhvalov [1] is defined as follows:

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t) := a\varepsilon\phi(t), & t \in [0, \alpha], \\ \psi(\alpha) + \psi'(\alpha)(t - \alpha), & t \in [\alpha, 1], \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

with $\phi(t) := -\ln(1 - 2t)$ for $t \in [0, 1/2)$, where a is a fixed positive mesh-parameter and $\psi'(0) < 1$, which is equivalent to $a\varepsilon^* < 1/2$. The transition point α is defined implicitly by

$$\psi(\alpha) + \psi'(\alpha)(1 - \alpha) = 1.$$

In [3, 4], Kopteva replaces α with $\tilde{\alpha} = 1/2 - a\varepsilon$, which yields $\lambda(\tilde{\alpha}) = a\varepsilon \ln[1/(2a\varepsilon)]$.

In this article, we consider a mild generalization of the Bakhvalov-type meshes of Boglaev [2, 13] and Kopteva which can be described jointly as below. Define the mesh points:

$$x_i = \hat{\lambda}(t_i) = \begin{cases} a\varepsilon\hat{\phi}(t_i), & i = 0, 1, \dots, N/2, \\ \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\alpha}) + 2(t_i - 1/2) \left(1 - \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\alpha})\right), & i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

with a positive constant κ satisfying $\kappa \geq \varepsilon$, $\hat{\phi}(t) = -\ln[1 - 2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)t]$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$, $t_i = i/N$, and

$$\hat{\alpha} = 1/2, \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\alpha}) = x_{N/2} = a\varepsilon \ln(\kappa/\varepsilon). \quad (11)$$

When $\kappa = 1$, it gives Boglaev's transition point. In particular, when we are in the singularly perturbed regime, the value of $\tilde{\alpha}$ is very close to $1/2$. Thus, we relax

Kopteva's transition point in the t -coordinate to $\hat{\alpha} = 1/2$, but we keep the same transition point of Kopteva in the x -coordinate, which is attained when $\kappa = 1/(2a)$. That is, our variant shrinks the very first mesh point in the x -coordinate in the regular region to be equal to $\lambda(\tilde{\alpha})$, whereas the function $\hat{\phi}(t)$ re-distributes $(N/2 + 1)$ mesh points, $x_0, \dots, x_{N/2}$, in such a way that the desirable properties of the original Bakhvalov mesh (with the function $\phi(t)$) can be preserved as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *The mesh widths of the Bakhvalov-type mesh defined by (10) and (11) satisfy*

$$h_{x,i-1} \leq h_{x,i} \leq 2a\kappa N^{-1}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, N/2, \quad (12)$$

$$h_{x,i} \leq a\varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N/2 - 1, \quad (13)$$

and in particular

$$h_{x,i-1} \leq h_{x,i} \leq 2N^{-1}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, N, \quad \text{when } \kappa \leq 1/(2a). \quad (14)$$

Furthermore,

$$e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2-1}/\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon/\kappa + 2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)N^{-1})^{a\beta_1} \leq C(\varepsilon + N^{-1})^{a\beta_1} \quad (15)$$

and

$$e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2}/\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon/\kappa)^{a\beta_1} \leq (\varepsilon)^{a\beta_1}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. By the definition of $\hat{\phi}(t)$, we have $\hat{\phi}'(t) = \frac{2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)}{1 - 2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)t}$, thus $\hat{\phi}(t)$ is monotonically increasing for $t \in [0, 1/2]$. Therefore, $h_{x,i-1} \leq h_{x,i}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, N/2 - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{x,N/2} &= a\varepsilon \int_{t_{N/2-1}}^{t_{N/2}} \phi'(s) ds \leq \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \phi'(t_{N/2}) = \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \cdot \frac{2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)}{1 - 2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)t_{N/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \cdot \frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} = 2a\kappa N^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (12).

For the estimate in (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{x,i} &= a\varepsilon \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi'(s) ds \leq \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \max_{t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]} \phi'(t) = \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \cdot \frac{2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)}{1 - 2(1 - \varepsilon/\kappa)t_i} \\ &\leq \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \cdot \frac{2}{1 - 2t_{N/2-1}} \leq \frac{a\varepsilon}{N} \cdot N = a\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

whereas estimate (14) results from (12) and the fact that $N^{-1} \leq h_{x,i-1} \leq h_{x,i} \leq 2N^{-1}$, $i = N/2 + 2, \dots, N$, by mesh definition (10). It is an easy calculation to get inequalities (15) and (16). \square

Remark 1. *The user-chosen parameter κ allows the mesh to preserve the reasonable properties of the original Bakhvalov mesh and Kopteva's modification as shown in (14); that is $\max_i h_{x,i} = \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$ and $h_{x,i-1} \leq h_{x,i}$ for all i , see [4, page 181].*

4. Error analysis

The numerical solution u_{ij}^N of the upwind finite-difference discretization is decomposed analogously to its continuous counterpart:

$$u_{ij}^N = S_{ij}^N + E_{1,ij}^N + E_{2,ij}^N + E_{12,ij}^N,$$

for which

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^N S_{ij}^N &= (\mathcal{L}S)_{ij}, & \mathcal{L}^N E_{1,ij}^N &= (\mathcal{L}E_1)_{ij}, & \mathcal{L}^N E_{2,ij}^N &= (\mathcal{L}E_2)_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{L}^N E_{12,ij}^N &= (\mathcal{L}E_{12})_{ij} \text{ on } \Omega^N \setminus \Gamma^N, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{ij}^N = S_{ij}, \quad E_{1,ij}^N = E_{1,ij}, \quad E_{2,ij}^N = E_{2,ij}, \quad E_{12,ij}^N = E_{12,ij} \text{ on } \Gamma^N.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L}^N (u_{ij}) - (\mathcal{L}u)_{ij} = \mathcal{L}^N (u_{ij} - u_{ij}^N), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1,$$

be the truncation error of the upwind discretization of problem (1) on the Bakhvalov-type mesh (10). We establish the upper bounds for the truncation error by using

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}^N (u_{ij} - u_{ij}^N)| &\leq |\mathcal{L}^N (S_{ij} - S_{ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}^N (E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N)| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{L}^N (E_{2,ij} - E_{2,ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}^N (E_{12,ij} - E_{12,ij}^N)| \\ &\leq \theta_{ij}^x + \theta_{ij}^y, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ij}^x &:= |\mathcal{L}_x^N (S_{ij} - S_{ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}_x^N (E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N)| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{L}_x^N (E_{2,ij} - E_{2,ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}_x^N (E_{12,ij} - E_{12,ij}^N)| \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ij}^y &:= |\mathcal{L}_y^N (S_{ij} - S_{ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}_y^N (E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N)| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{L}_y^N (E_{2,ij} - E_{2,ij}^N)| + |\mathcal{L}_y^N (E_{12,ij} - E_{12,ij}^N)|. \end{aligned}$$

Also, let

$$\bar{E}_{ij}^x = \prod_{k=1}^i \left(1 + \frac{\beta_1 h_{x,k}}{2\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{E}_{ij}^y = \prod_{k=1}^j \left(1 + \frac{\beta_2 h_{y,k}}{2\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}.$$

We also make an assumption on the mesh parameter a such that $\min\{a\beta_1, a\beta_2\} \geq 2$ for the rest of our analysis. In the following lemmas, we follow the proof techniques introduced in [12, Theorem 1] to obtain the truncation-error estimate, and [12, Lemma 9] to form the appropriate barrier functions. It is worth noting that because the mesh modification of Boglaev and Kopteva simplifies the original Bakhvalov mesh by its explicit transition point, the analysis here can be significantly simplified compared to that of [12].

Lemma 3. *The truncation error of the upwind discretization of problem (1) on the Bakhvalov-type mesh defined in (10) and (11) satisfies the following:*

$$\left| \mathcal{L}^N(u_{ij}) - (\mathcal{L}u)_{ij} \right| \leq \theta_{ij}^x + \theta_{ij}^y,$$

where for θ_{ij}^x and any $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, we have

$$\theta_{ij}^x \leq \begin{cases} CN^{-1}, & i \geq N/2, \\ C(N^{-1} + \varepsilon^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1}), & i = N/2 - 1 \text{ \& } h_{x,i+1} \leq \varepsilon, \\ C(N^{-1} + h_{x,i+1}^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1}), & i = N/2 - 1 \text{ \& } h_{x,i+1} > \varepsilon, \\ C(N^{-1} + \varepsilon^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1}), & i \leq N/2 - 2, \end{cases}$$

whereas θ_{ij}^y , for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, can be bounded in the same way with \bar{E}_{ij}^y , instead of \bar{E}_{ij}^x , and $h_{y,j}$, instead of $h_{x,i}$.

Proof. We shall prove the estimates for θ_{ij}^x only, since the bounds for θ_{ij}^y can be proved analogously. From (17), we will bound each right-hand-side term separately. Let $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ throughout the proof. By (2), (4), (12), and Lemma 1, we easily get the bounds $|\mathcal{L}_x^N(S_{ij} - S_{ij}^N)| \leq CN^{-1}$ and $|\mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{2,ij} - E_{2,ij}^N)| \leq CN^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$.

We consider cases for the indices i to bound the layer component E_1 . First, for $i \geq N/2 + 1$, we apply Lemma 1 to E_1 . Then we have

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N) \right| \leq CN^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2}/\varepsilon} \leq CN^{-1},$$

where we have used (16) and $a\beta_1 \geq 2$ in the last inequality.

For $i = N/2$, we consider two subcases, $\varepsilon \leq N^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon > N^{-1}$. For $\varepsilon \leq N^{-1}$, our approach is

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N) \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij}) \right| + \left| (\mathcal{L}_x E_1)_{ij} \right|. \quad (18)$$

Then, we can bound both $|\mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij})| \leq CN^{-1}$ and $|\mathcal{L}_x E_1|_{ij} \leq CN^{-1}$ by invoking (3), (6), and (15). For $\varepsilon > N^{-1}$, we get that $h_{x,N/2} \leq C\varepsilon$ because of (12). Therefore, we use again Lemma 1 to get:

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N) \right| \leq CN^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2-1}/\varepsilon} \leq CN^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2}/\varepsilon} \leq CN^{-1},$$

where (16) is used in the last step.

Next, we combine the cases when $i \leq N/2 - 2$ and $i = N/2 - 1$ when $h_{x,N/2} \leq \varepsilon$ together. Indeed, for $i \leq N/2 - 1$, we have $h_{x,i} \leq a\varepsilon$ because of (13). Hence,

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N(E_{1,ij} - E_{1,ij}^N) \right| \leq CN^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} e^{-\beta_1 x_{i-1}/\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} N^{-1} e^{-\beta_1 x_i/2\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} N^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x.$$

Lastly, when $i = N/2 - 1$ and $h_{x,N/2} > \varepsilon$, this means that $\max\{\varepsilon, h_{x,N/2}\} = h_{x,N/2}$. Then, $\varepsilon \leq CN^{-1}$ because of (12), and we can modify the approach in (18) and

use (15) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{L}_x^N (E_{1,ij}) \right| + \left| (\mathcal{L}_x E_1)_{ij} \right| &\leq C h_{x,N/2}^{-1} e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2-1}/(2\varepsilon)} e^{-\beta_1 x_{N/2-1}/(2\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq C h_{x,N/2}^{-1} \bar{E}_{N/2-1,j}^x (\varepsilon + N^{-1})^{a\beta_1/2} \\ &\leq C h_{x,N/2}^{-1} \bar{E}_{N/2-1,j}^x N^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The proof is completed when we apply the above argument analogously to bound $\left| \mathcal{L}_x^N (E_{12,ij} - E_{12,ij}^N) \right|$ by invoking (5) and (8). \square

Next, we form the barrier function

$$\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}^x + \gamma_{ij}^y, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1,$$

with

$$\gamma_{ij}^x = C_1(1-x_i)N^{-1} + C_2 \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{ij}^y = C_3(1-y_j)N^{-1} + C_4 \bar{E}_{ij}^y N^{-1},$$

where C_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are appropriately chosen positive constants independent of both ε and N .

Lemma 4. *There exist sufficiently large constants C_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^N \gamma_{ij} = \mathcal{L}^N \gamma_{ij}^x + \mathcal{L}^N \gamma_{ij}^y \geq \chi_{ij}^x + \chi_{ij}^y \geq \theta_{ij}^x + \theta_{ij}^y, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1,$$

where

$$\chi_{ij}^x = C_1 N^{-1} + C_2 [\max\{\varepsilon, h_{x,i+1}\}]^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1},$$

and

$$\chi_{ij}^y = C_3 N^{-1} + C_4 [\max\{\varepsilon, h_{y,j+1}\}]^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^y N^{-1}.$$

Proof. We shall prove that $\mathcal{L}_x^N \gamma_{ij} \geq \chi_{ij}^x \geq \theta_{ij}^x$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{L}_x^N \gamma_{ij} \geq \chi_{ij}^x$ (see, for instance, [9, 15]). Therefore, by Lemma 3, we will show that $\theta_{ij}^x \leq \chi_{ij}^x$, $1 \leq j \leq N-1$, for various values of the indices i .

Let $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ throughout the proof again. It is clear from Lemma 3 that

$$\theta_{ij}^x \leq C N^{-1} \leq C_1 N^{-1} \leq \chi_{ij}^x, \quad i = N/2, N/2+1, \dots, N-1.$$

We are left to prove that $\theta_{ij}^x \leq \chi_{ij}^x$ for $i \leq N/2-1$. For $i \leq N/2-2$, we have from (13) that $h_{x,i+1} \leq a\varepsilon$ and

$$\theta_{ij}^x \leq C (N^{-1} + \varepsilon^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1}) \leq C_1 N^{-1} + C_2 \varepsilon^{-1} \bar{E}_{ij}^x N^{-1} \leq \chi_{ij}^x. \quad (20)$$

It remains to consider $i = N/2-1$. If $h_{x,N/2} \leq \varepsilon$, we have the same situation as above and estimate (20) is achieved for $i = N/2-1$. On the other hand, when $h_{x,N/2} > \varepsilon$, we apply a similar argument from (19) to get

$$\theta_{ij}^x \leq C \left(N^{-1} + h_{x,N/2}^{-1} \bar{E}_{N/2-1,j}^x N^{-1} \right) \leq C_1 N^{-1} + C_2 h_{x,N/2}^{-1} \bar{E}_{N/2-1,j}^x N^{-1} \leq \chi_{N/2-1,j}^x.$$

Analogous reasoning is used to show that $\mathcal{L}_y^N \gamma_{ij} \geq \chi_{ij}^y \geq \theta_{ij}^y$, which completes the proof. \square

Combining Lemmas 3 and 4 together with the discrete maximum principle (cf. [5, Lemma 6]), we get the main result.

Theorem 1. *For the upwind finite-difference method applied on the Bakhvalov-type mesh defined in (10) and (11) to the convection-diffusion problem (1), the error satisfies*

$$|u_{ij} - u_{ij}^N| \leq CN^{-1}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

5. Concluding remark

Our analysis fills an important theoretical gap for Bakhvalov-type meshes with explicitly defined transition points discretized by an upwind difference scheme of two-dimensional convection-diffusion problems. The proposed mesh mildly generalizes Boglaev and Kopteva's choices of the Bakhvalov-type transition points in the sense that the mesh not only enjoys the preferred mesh properties of the famous Bakhvalov mesh, but also simplifies the computational effort theoretically and practically.

Acknowledgement

TAN would like to thank the Faculty Development Program, Holy Names University. The research of VQM is funded by Thu Dau Mot University under grant number ĐT.20-010.

References

- [1] N. S. BAKHVALOV, *The optimization of methods of solving boundary value problems with a boundary layer*, USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys. **9**(1969), 139–166.
- [2] I. P. BOGLAEV, *The numerical solution of a nonlinear boundary value problem with a small parameter effecting the highest derivative*, Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz. **24**(1984), 1649–1656.
- [3] N. KOPTOVA, *On the convergence, uniform with respect to the small parameter of a scheme with central difference on refined grids*, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **39**(1999), 1594–1610.
- [4] N. KOPTOVA, *Uniform pointwise convergence of difference schemes for convection-diffusion problems on layer-adapted meshes*, Computing **66**(2001), 179–197.
- [5] T. LINSS, *An upwind difference scheme on a novel Shishkin-type mesh for a linear convection-diffusion problem*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **110**(1999), 93–104.
- [6] T. LINSS, *Sufficient conditions for uniform convergence on layer-adapted grids*, Appl. Numer. Math. **37**(2001), 241–255.
- [7] T. LINSS, *Layer-Adapted Meshes for Reaction-Convection-Diffusion Problems*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1985, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [8] T. LINSS, M. STYNES, *Asymptotic analysis and Shishkin-type decomposition for an elliptic convection-diffusion problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262**(2001), 604–632.
- [9] T. A. NHAN, R. VULANOVIĆ, *A note on a generalized Shishkin-type mesh*, Novi Sad J. Math. **48**(2018), 141–150, doi: 10.30755/NSJOM.07880.
- [10] T. A. NHAN, M. STYNES, R. VULANOVIĆ, *Optimal uniform-convergence results for convection-diffusion problems in one dimension using preconditioning*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **338**(2018), 227–238, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2018.02.012.

- [11] T. A. NHAN, R. VULANOVIĆ, *Analysis of the truncation error and barrier-function technique for a Bakhvalov-type mesh*, ETNA **51**(2019), 315–330.
- [12] T. A. NHAN, R. VULANOVIĆ, *The Bakhvalov mesh: a complete finite-difference analysis of two-dimensional singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problems*, Numer. Algorithms (2020), doi:10.1007/s11075-020-00964-z.
- [13] H.-G. ROOS, *Error estimates for linear finite elements on Bakhvalov-type meshes*, Appl. Math. **51**(2006), 63–72.
- [14] H.-G. ROOS, M. STYNES, *Some open questions in the numerical analysis of singularly perturbed differential equations*, Comput. Meth. Appl. Math. **15**(2015), 531–550.
- [15] M. STYNES, H.-G. ROOS, *The midpoint upwind scheme*, Appl. Numer. Math. **23**(1997), 361–374.
- [16] R. VULANOVIĆ, T. A. NHAN, *Robust hybrid schemes of higher order for singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problems*, Appl. Math. Comput. **386**(2020), 125495, doi:10.1016/j.amc.2020.125495.
- [17] J. ZHANG, X. LIU, *Supercloseness of linear finite element method on Bakhvalov-type meshes for singularly perturbed convection–diffusion equation in 1D*, Appl. Math. Lett. **111**(2021), 106624, doi:10.1016/j.aml.2020.106624.
- [18] J. ZHANG, X. LIU, *Optimal order of uniform convergence for finite element method on Bakhvalov-type meshes*, J. Sci. Comput. **85**(2020), doi:10.1007/s10915-020-01312-y.