

2011

Pliny Country' Revisited: Connectivity and Regionalism in Roman Italy

Carolynn Roncaglia

Santa Clara University, roncaglia@scu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarcommons.scu.edu/classics>



Part of the [Classics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Roncaglia, Carolynn. "Pliny Country' Revisited: Connectivity and Regionalism in Roman Italy." *Ancient Documents and Their Contexts: First North American Congress of Greek and Latin Epigraphy* (2011). Ed. John P. Bodel and Nora Mitkova Dimitrova. Leiden: Brill, 2014

http://doi.org/10.1163/9789004273870_014

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts & Sciences at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Classics by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact rscroggin@scu.edu.

“Pliny Country” Revisited: Connectivity and Regionalism in Roman Italy

Carolynn E. Roncaglia

“Pliny Country”

Gaius Plinius Caecilius Secundus was very much a man of the empire. As an advocate at cosmopolitan Rome, a witness at Vesuvius, governor in Bithynia, a landowner in Etruria, a friend of Spanish and north African senators, Pliny had a career that demonstrated the possibilities for mobility possessed by Roman elites during the high empire. Yet, when Ronald Syme published prosopographical studies on correspondents and persons mentioned in Pliny’s letters nearly a half century ago, he found that many of the people in Pliny’s letters fell within defined networks of *amicitia* in a limited geographical area that Syme named “Pliny Country.”¹ Syme’s “Pliny Country”, based around Pliny’s home town of Comum near Mediolanum, included most of Lombardy and stretched to just east of Lake Garda, encompassing the Valerii at Verona but not going as far east as Aquileia and the towns at the head of the Adriatic, nor going as far west as Eporedia and Augusta Taurinorum, which controlled access to the Western Alps. Within Italy, Pliny’s region of connections was largely limited to the eastern half of the Augustan *regio* XI and the western part of *regio* X.²

Superimposed upon these regional connections were associations made in Rome, where, as Syme says, “the Plinian company . . . [acquired] recruits from schools and salons . . . from a barrister’s practice and a senator’s career. In these ways, persons from Spain and Narbonensis come in.”³ Pliny’s more illustrious *amici*, Suetonius and Tacitus, boasted

origins and relationships scattered across the empire, but they had in common a political connection to the city of Rome.⁴ Both regional and Roman connections in turn produced patronage obligations, adding a third set of connections to Pliny's world. Tifernum Tiberinum, where Pliny had inherited from his family substantial landholdings from his family, adopted him as *patronus*, and from there Pliny built up a considerable network of Umbrian social contacts (*Ep.* 4.1).⁵ At Rome Pliny served as advocate for an embassy of Baeticans seeking redress against a previous—and conveniently deceased—governor; according to Pliny they had sought him out because of his previous success in the law courts of Rome (*Ep.* 3.4). Similarly his friend Statius Sabinus persuaded him to act as advocate for the people of Firmum Picenum (*Ep.* 6.18). Pliny's most extensive patronage, however, was confined to his hometown of Comum, where he built baths and a library, set up an alimentary program for the children of the town, and provided partial funding for the hire of a new teacher.⁶

Through the publication and survival of his letters, Pliny's connections in Italy and the empire are exceptionally well-documented, but are they anomalous? Can other sets of regional connections be defined, and what determines their shape? How did these hypothetical regional networks—like the set of social and political bonds formed by Pliny and his correspondents—interact with other, larger networks?

Sources

Pliny's letters stand largely alone in the high empire, but they are not the only sources that can reconstruct the personal networks created by individuals through various forms

of interaction, like marriage, business, patronage, or *amicitia*. This article examines one category of these sources—inscriptions on stone or bronze—that (1) provides a fairly large sample size, (2) is fairly well represented across Roman Italy, and (3) provides some evidence of the kinds of regional connections that can be seen in Pliny’s letters.

This is not to say that working with these inscriptions is unproblematic. The geographic and chronological distributions of inscriptions within Roman Italy are uneven.

Inscriptions from the Principate, particularly from the second century CE, are more numerous than those from the early Republican period or from Late Antiquity.⁷

Similarly, some regions of Italy are epigraphically denser than others, and even within regions, epigraphic corpora tend to show an overrepresentation of freedmen, soldiers, and local elites.⁸ There are also regional variations in epigraphic habits—such as more frequent commemoration of women and children in the old Venetic areas around Ateste—which complicate even these general assumptions about epigraphic biases.⁹

Compensating for all documentary bias is beyond the scope of this project, but to allow for some standardization of bias the evidence has been limited to those inscriptions (largely funerary and honorific) that date to the period from the Late Republic through the Principate and that explicitly mention a connection to a place in Italy other than the site of the inscription, as in a dedication from Clusium, which commemorates a freedman from nearby Cortona: *C(aio) Titio C(ai) l(iberto) Celeri, / domo Cortona, / Vivir(o) Aug(ustali) / liberti eius*.¹⁰ Not included in the corpus are non-explicit or uncertain connections, such as those known through literary sources, proposed by prosopographical

studies, or suggested by tribal affiliations. These non-specific sources are excluded in order to standardize the corpus of documentary material as much as possible and in order not to favor those areas where the local prosopography has been more thoroughly or carefully studied.

Italian regional networks

Mapping the connections shown by this corpus of inscriptions allows a partial reconstruction of interaction between residents of different *municipia*. Bononia provides a useful example. Within Italy, the town has links with Aquileia, Cremona, Mutina, Parma, Ravenna, and Rome.¹¹ Among these Italian connections, two distinct patterns of interaction can be discerned.

The first pattern is largely a regional one: connections are largely limited to northeastern Italy, in particular to the Aemilia. Here Bononia's ties, concentrated along the *via Aemilia*, the great trunk road running north of the Apennines, are created by local elites, particularly by those of the decurial class, by members of the *sevirate*, and *Augustales*.¹² So, for example, in an epitaph at Bononia, a *vestiarius* advertises his Cremonese connections: *V(ivus) f(ecit) / L(ucius) Ursius / Sosander / vestiarius Bonon(iensis) /⁵ sibi et Rufriae / Calybe con[i]ugi / piissim[a]e, / isdem d[o]mo / Cremona VIvir. /¹⁰ In front(e) p(edes) XXVI / in agro p(edes) XX.*¹³

The connections between the local elites of Bononia and its neighbors in northeastern Italy stand in contrast to the connections between Rome and Bononia, which are largely

the result of recruitment by the Praetorian Guard and the urban cohorts in Rome. Explicit references to Bononia in Rome are almost exclusively to be found in the listed origins of praetorians and members of the urban cohorts. The relationship between Rome and Bononia appears to be reciprocal, as people from Bononia joined the guard at Rome and then returned as veterans to settle in Bononia.¹⁴ This bidirectional link between Rome and Bononia exists alongside Bononia's regional network of connections in the Aemilia and northeastern Italy.

These two types of ties linking Bononia with other places in Italy are typical of other large, northern Italian towns such as Cremona, whose connections in Italy are similarly divided between the Po valley (Aquileia, Cremona, Bononia, Brixia, Ficulea, Lepidum Regium, Pola, Ravenna, and Verona) and Rome.¹⁵ Verona too has a similar dichotomy between the regional connections made by local elites and the military connections made by recruitment into the Praetorian Guard and urban cohorts at Rome and by subsequent veteran settlement, as at Reate and Pisaurum.¹⁶

Aquileia at first appears to be the exception to this rule, with connections to Aquileia, Ateste, Augusta Taurinorum, Bononia, Brixia, Cremona, Emona, Faesulae, Forum Iulii, Fundi, Hasta, Intimilium, Mutina, Opitergium, Patavium, Perusia, Placentia, Pola, Rome, Sentinum, Tergeste, and Verona.¹⁷ These connections are both more wide-ranging and more numerous than other towns in northern Italy. The number of the connections can perhaps be explained by Aquileia's large number of inscriptions and by the strength of the epigraphic habit among Aquileians.¹⁸ The range, moreover, is distorted by the

stationing of praetorians at Aquileia.¹⁹ Taking into account the unique circumstances at Aquileia, the patterns of connectivity seen at Bononia otherwise seem typical for similarly sized towns in northern Italy.²⁰ But are these patterns found at Bononia and other Cisalpine towns representative of Italy as a whole?

In southern and central Italy, similar patterns prevail. At Capua, for example, there is again the dichotomy between Roman and regional connections created by local elites holding decurionates and sevirates in neighboring towns. The elongated shape of Capua's regional connections differs from the more globular and/or triangular networks seen in the Po valley. Here geography, especially the divisive power of the Apennine chain, seems to be limiting Capua's range of connectivity largely to the Tyrrhenian coast. A similar dichotomous network emerges at Beneventum, whose connections are either within a 40 km radius around the town or with Rome.²¹ Whereas Capua's links were largely costal, Beneventum's spread out radially along the Calore river, the via Appia, and the via Traiana. On a smaller scale, the Umbrian town of Tuder has connections with nearby Tiber river towns Spolegium and Vettona as well as the expected military links with Rome.²² So the basic patterns of connectivity seen at Bononia are replicated across the Italian peninsula.

The regional and cross-regional links created by local elites and recruited praetorians respectively were of course not the only forms of connectivity within Roman Italy but they nevertheless do suggest a uniformity to the patterns by which people in Roman Italy interacted with other communities.²³ In general, communication and social connections

were limited to small regions, the shapes of which were strongly influenced by both the physical geography and the paths of major Roman roads, as can be seen in Beneventum's regional network and with the shape of networks in the Aemilia.

The general shape and scale of these networks, moreover, lend further credence to the idea of a coherent "Pliny Country". Returning to Mediolanum, the large city near Pliny's Comum, it is clear that Mediolanum's range of connections corresponds roughly to the area of modern Lombardy, expanding into eastern Veneto and into northern Liguria.²⁴ Mediolanum's network of connectivity neither corresponds to modern regions nor to the Augustan *regio* XI. It is, as at Capua, limited by geography, with Mediolanum's connections stretching east down the Po river system but not across the Apennines into coastal Liguria or into northern Etruria. The geographical limitations of Mediolanum's connections are again not atypical, as we see in comparison with four other towns from other areas of the Po valley—Augusta Bagiennorum, Brixia, Patavium, and Ariminum.²⁵ At Augusta Bagiennorum and Ariminum especially these geographical limitations are apparent—the connections of Augusta Bagiennorum cluster around the Tanarus river valley, and Ariminum clings as much to the Po delta as to the Apennines.

While Mediolanum's network does not match ancient or modern administrative regions, it does, however, correspond almost exactly to the Transpadane network sketched by Pliny's letters. Using Mediolanum's fuller epigraphic corpus to stand in for nearby Comum, it does seem that Syme's "Pliny Country" corresponds quite closely to the network of local elites in the same area.²⁶ Thus Pliny's regional connections are not

anomalous, and here there is a pleasing overlap between the literary and epigraphic record.

Superimposed on these regional networks of local elites are those links created by military recruitment—particularly into the urban cohorts and Praetorian Guard—and veteran settlement. Within Italy, these links connect towns across the peninsula with Rome. The strength of this second form of network becomes more apparent when the range of data used for looking at regional networks in Italy is expanded to include inscriptions not only in Italy but throughout the empire that explicitly note a connection with an Italian town.

Connections between Italy and the empire

By expanding the data in this manner for the small Etrurian town of Faesulae, for example, a small diaspora appears: legionaries and praetorians who claim an origin in Faesulae are found in Rome, Aquileia, Formiae, Mogontiacum in Germany, and at Carnuntum in Pannonia.²⁷ All of the people commemorated outside of Faesulae who mention an origin in Faesulae also claim current or former membership in the legions, the Praetorian Guard, or the urban cohorts. A major force pulling inhabitants of Faesulae away from home and beyond the borders of Italy seems to have been military recruitment.

In this matter Faesulae was not exceptional; towns throughout Italy show similar patterns, with a majority of connections outside of Italy being the results of military recruitment

and resettlement. The same effect of recruitment on an Italian diaspora can be seen with attestations of people across the empire who claim an origin in Mediolanum. Outside of Mediolanum's regional network in the Transpadane, it is almost always a direct military connection that accounts for the movement of Milanese throughout the empire, hence the concentration of Milanese at military sites such as Mogontiacum, Carnuntum, and Poetovio.²⁸

The exceptions are at Narbo in southern Gaul, where the occupation of the commemorated in the relevant inscription is not clear, and at Leptis Magna, where a marble base in the theater commemorates a particularly successful Severan-era pantomime:

M(arco) Septimio Aurelio Agrippae

M(arci) Aureli Antonini Pii Felicis Aug(usti) lib(erto)

pantomimo temporis sui primo,

Romae adulescentium productorum

condiscipulo ad Italiae spectacula

5

a domino nostro Aug(usto) provecto,

decurionalibus ornamentis Verona

et Vicetia ornato, Mediolano in=

ter iuvenes recepto, in Africa

Lepci Mag(na) a domino nostro Aug(usto)

10

ordinato. P(ublius) Albucius Apollonius

*Mediolanensis ex Italia amico rari
exempli permissu splendidissimi ord(inis) p(osuit).*

“To Marcus Septimius Aurelius Agrippa, freedman of Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Pius Felix Augustus, leading pantomime of his time, fellow-pupil of the young men at Rome, brought to Italian performances by our lord Augustus, decorated with decurial honors at Verona and Vicetia, admitted into the *iuvenes* at Mediolanum, appointed to the town council in Africa at Leptis Magna by our lord Augustus. Publius Albucius Apollonius, Milanese from Italy, to a friend of uncommon specimen, set this up by the permission of the most splendid town council.”²⁹

Agrippa’s career, as far as the honorific monument from Leptis records it, encompasses three distinct areas: Rome, Leptis Magna, and a region in northern Italy including Verona, Vicetia, and Mediolanum. Agrippa’s place of origin is uncertain, but given that he was brought *ad Italiae spectacula*, a provincial origin, albeit not necessarily North African, is likely. Once in Italy, Agrippa seems to have made connections at Rome, Vicetia, Verona, and Mediolanum. Given his position as imperial freedman, his education at Rome is not surprising. Agrippa’s connections with Vicetia, Verona, and Mediolanum are perhaps less expected, but given the nature of Italian regional networks as outlined above, once Agrippa made a patron or connection from one town—most probably the Milanese Apollonius—connections with other towns in Mediolanum’s network might

easily follow.³⁰ Agrippa's career appears thus to have been shaped not only by imperial patronage but also by local regional networks in Italy.

Hence even outliers in the empire tend to confirm the two basic patterns of connectivity seen in Italian inscriptions, namely (1) that the regional networks are created mainly by local elites and (2) that larger networks are created by the Roman state through recruitment—mostly military but also administrative and, in the case of Agrippa, theatrical—and link local networks with Rome and the *limes*.

Conclusions

These two basic patterns are not dissimilar to Pliny's own connections, with a web of regional associations in central northern Italy overlapping with those made at Rome or through state service. "Pliny country", for Pliny, was more than a web of friends and acquaintances. Throughout his letters, Pliny shows flashes of a sense of belonging to the regions that his network encompasses. He describes Cornelius Minicianus, from Bergomum just to the east of Comum, as "*ornamentum regionis meae*" (Pliny, *Ep.* 7.22.2). In another letter he describes a man from Brixia as *ex illa nostra Italia* and then proceeds to list values (*verecundia, frugalitas, rusticitas antiqua*) for which that part of Italy is known (*Ep.* 1.14.4-7). So, Pliny understood himself to be from a particular region of Italy, a region that was constructed partly geographically, by the practical limits imposed by mountains and by the connections provided by rivers, and partly culturally,

by means of the values of old fashioned frugality and simplicity that Pliny attributes to the region.

That region was also a network of *municipia*, the basic building blocks of a *regio*, and indeed Pliny ascribed the people in his works to *municipia* first and only secondarily to *regiones* (as in *Ep.* 1.14). “Pliny country” was a regional network, but it was one conceptually constructed out of *municipia* and one that replicates the regional networks illustrated by local *vestiarii*, *duoviri*, and *seviri* claiming ties and offices in other *municipia*. Cortonese freedmen C. Titius Celer and Agrippa the pantomime may have had little else in common with Pliny, but the ways in which all three interacted with the rest of Italy and the empire fit into patterns replicated on a grand scale.

Bibliography

Abramenko, A. 1993. *Die munizipale Mittelschicht im kaiserzeitlichen Italien: zu einem neuen Verständnis von Sevirat und Augustalität*. Frankfurt: Lang.

- - - 1994. "Zu drei inschriften lokaler Würdenträger aus Mediolanum." *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 104: 87-92.

Birley, A. 2000. *Onomasticon to the Younger Pliny: Letters and Panegyric*. Leipzig: K. G. Saur.

Boscolo, F. 2003. "'Iuvenes' a Mediolanum e dintorni." In *Miscellanea in onore di Franco Sartori per l'80° compleanno*, 257-68. Trento: Società di Studi Trentini di Scienze Storiche.

Bradley, G. 2007. "Romanization: The End of the Peoples of Italy?." In G. Bradley, E. Isayev, and C. Riva (eds.), *Ancient Italy: Regions without Boundaries*, 295-322. Exeter: Exeter University Press.

Brunt, P. A. 1965. "'Amicitia' in the Late Roman Republic." *Papers of the Cambridge Philological Society* 11:1-20.

Brusin, J. B. 1991-1993. *Inscriptiones Aquileiae*. Udine: Deputazione di storia patria per il Friuli.

Champlin, E. 2001. "Pliny's other country." In M. Peachin (ed.), *Aspects of Friendship in the Graeco-Roman World*, 121-28. Portsmouth, R.I.: Journal of Roman Archaeology.

de Ligt, L. 2008. "The Population of Cisalpine Gaul in the Time of Augustus." In L. de Ligt and S. Northwood (eds.), *People, Land, and Politics: Demographic Developments and the Transformation of Roman Italy 300 BC-AD 14*, 139-183. Leiden: Brill.

Donati, A. 1967. *Epigrafia Cortonese. Testi greci e romani*. Cortona: Calosci.

Duthoy, R. 1976. "Augustalis et *sevir* dans l'empire romain." In *Epigraphische Studien* 11: 143- 214.

Fishwick, D. 1987-2005. *The Imperial Cult in the Latin West*. Leiden: Brill.

Galsterer, H. 1994. "Regionen and Regionalismus im romischen Italien." *Historia* 43: 306-23.

Garnsey, P. D. A. 1975. "Economy and Society of Mediolanum under the Principate." *Papers of the British School at Rome* 44: 13-27.

George, M. 2005. *The Roman Family in the Empire: Rome, Italy, and Beyond*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ginestet, P. 1991. *Les organisations de la jeunesse dans l'Occident romain*. Bruxelles: Latomus.

Giuliano, L. 1979. *Gioventù e istituzioni nella Roma antica: condizione giovanile e processi di socializzazione*. Roma: Università degli studi di Roma.

Graham, S. 2006. "Networks, agent-based models, and the Antonine Itineraries: implications for Roman archaeology." *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 19: 45-64.

Gregori, G. L. 2008. "*Huic ordo decurionum ornamenta...decrevit*. Forme pubbliche di riconoscimento del successo personale nell'Italia romana." In C. Berredonner, M. Cébeillac-Gervasoni, and L. Lamoine (eds.), *Le quotidien municipal dans l'occident Romain*, 661-86. Paris: Presses Université Blaise Pascal.

- - - 2002. "La concessione degli ornamenta decurionalia nelle città dell'Italia dell'Italia settentrionale," in A. Sartori and A. Valvo (eds.), *Ceti medi in Cisalpina. Atti del colloquio internazionale 14-16 settembre 2000*, 37-48. Milano.

Hasegawa, T. 2008. "Human relationships developed through water commerce between inland Gaul and Italy in the early Roman Empire: the cases of two traders." *Journal of Classical Studies* 56: 65-76.

Hope, V. 2001. *Constructing Identity: The Roman Funerary Monuments of Aquileia, Mainz, and Nîmes*. Oxford: BAR International Series.

Keppie, L. 2000. *Legions and Veterans: Roman Army Papers 1971-2000*. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag.

Lomas, K. 2009. "Italy beyond Rome." In A. Erskine (ed.), *A Companion to Ancient History*, 248-60. Oxford: Blackwell.

MacMullen, R. 1982. "The Epigraphic Habit in the Roman Empire." *American Journal of Philology* 103: 233-46.

Mohler, S. L. 1937. "The Iuvenes and Roman Education." *Transactions and Proceedings of the American Philological Association* 68: 442-79.

Mollo, S. 1997. *L'Augustalità a Brescia*. Rome: Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei.

Morel, J. P. 1969, "Pantomimus allectus inter iuuenes." *Latomus* 102: 525-35.

Mouritsen, H. 2005. "Freedmen and Decurions: Epitaphs and Social History in Imperial Italy." *Journal of Roman Studies* 95: 38-63.

- - - 2011. *The Freedman in the Roman World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mrozek, S. 1973. "A propos de la repartition chronologique des inscriptions *latines* dans le Haut-Empire." *Epigraphica* 35: 13-18.

Nicolet, C. 1991. *Space, Geography, and Politics in the Early Roman Empire*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Nicols, J. 1980. "Pliny and the Patronage of Communities." *Hermes* 108: 365-85.

Noreña, C. 2007. "The Social Economy of Pliny's Correspondence with Trajan." *American Journal of Philology* 128: 239-77.

Pancieri, S. 1978. "Aquileia romana e la flotta militare." *Antichità Altoadriatiche* 13: 107-34.

Pavan, M. 1979. "Presenze di militari nel territorio di Aquileia." In *Il territorio di Aquileia nel Antichità*, 470-73. Udine 1979.

Polverini, L. 1998. "Le regioni nell'Italia romana." *Geographia Antiqua* 7: 23-33.

Rosafio, P. 1993. "Rural labour organization in Pliny the Younger." *Analecta Romana Instituti Danici* 21: 67-79.

Saddington, D. B. 1988. "Two unpublished inscriptions of auxiliaries in Aquileia and the presence of the military there in the early imperial period." *Aquileia Nostra* 59: 67-76.

Saller, R. 1989. "Patronage and Friendship in Early Imperial Rome: Drawing the Distinction." In A. Wallace-Hadrill (ed.), *Patronage in Ancient Society*, 49-62. London: Routledge.

Sherwin-White, A. N. 1966. *The Letters of Pliny: A Social and Historical Commentary*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Syme, R. 1968. "People in Pliny." *Journal of Roman Studies* 58: 135-51.

- - - 1985. "Correspondents of Pliny." *Historia Zeitschrift für Alte Geschichte* 34: 324-59.

Taylor, L. L. 2000. *Dying like a Roman: Funerary monuments and the creation of provincial material culture in Roman Venetia*. Ph.D., University of Pennsylvania.

Todisco, E. 1999. *I veterani in Italia in età imperiale*. Bari: Edipuglia.

White, P. 1975. "The friends of Martial, Statius and Pliny, and the dispersal of patronage." *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 79: 265-300.

Zaccharia, C. 1994. "Testimonianze epigrafiche di spettacoli teatrali e di attori nella Cisalpina romana." *Antichità Altoadriatiche* 41: 69-98.

Endnotes

¹ Syme 1968. For further prosopographical material on Pliny's correspondents, see Sherwin-White 1966, Syme 1985, and Birley 2000. To Pliny's networks in northern Italy, Champlin 2001 adds a second group of regional connections based around Pliny's Umbrian villa in Tifernum Tiberinum and stretching south to Perugia, Spolegium, Narnia, Iguvium, Asisium, and Pisaurum (127).

² Italian regionalism, particularly during the republic and the Augustan age, has received much attention recently; overviews can be found in Lomas 2009 and Bradley 2007. The impact of the creation of the Augustan *regiones* is discussed in Polverini 1998, Galsterer 1994, and Nicolet 1991. Graham 2006 discusses regionalism and personal networks in the context of the Antonine itineraries.

³ Syme 1968: 135.

⁴ On Pliny's literary connections at Rome, see White 1975. On the presentation of *amicitia* in Pliny, see Noreña 2007, as well as Brunt 1965 and Saller 1989 for more general discussions of the place of *amicitia* in Roman society.

⁵ On Pliny's status as *patronus* and relationships with the three communities, see Nicols 1980, who draws largely upon the evidence of Pliny *Ep.* 4.1, 3.4, 7.33, and 6.18 to reconstruct Pliny's patronage obligations outside of Comum. On Pliny's property at Tifernum, see Rosafio 1993 and Champlin 2001, who describes this "significant web, both broad and thick, of social connections" as "Pliny's other country" (127).

⁶ On Pliny's benefactions at Comum, see *CIL* V 5262 (= *ILS* 2927), 5263, *AE* 1972: 212 (statue base from Comum), as well as Pliny *Ep.* 4.13 and 5.7.

⁷ For surveys of the rise and fall of the epigraphic habit in the Roman World, see Mrozek 1973, MacMullen 1982, and Mouritsen 2005.

⁸ Mouritsen 2011: 127-129.

⁹ For examples of localized epigraphic biases in Roman Italy, see Taylor 2000 on the more frequent representation of women and children in funerary monuments around Ateste as a carryover from Venetic commemorative traditions, as well as George 2005: 58 on the smaller proportion of freedmen in inscriptions in northern Italy as compared with central and southern Italy (cf. Mollo 1997 on the correspondingly high proportion of *ingenui* in northern Italy's *collegia* and *sevirates*).

¹⁰ *CIL* XI 2123 (= Donati 1967: no. 28).

¹¹ Inscriptions used to map Bononia's connections within Italy: *IAquil* II 2761, 2829, 2847 (Aquileia); *ILS* 6668 (Cremona); *ILS* 6669, *AE* 2003, 662 (Mutina); *CIL* XI 1065 (Parma); *CIL* XI 21, 6734 (Ravenna); *Supp. It.* 10 Terg. 8 (Tergeste); *AE* 1916, 50; 1979, 87, 88; 1984, 61; *ILS* 2012, 9081; *CIL* VI 2465, 2693, 2727, 2761, 3438, 32515, 32522, 32638, 32526, 32659, 32707; XI 21, 1065, 6734 (Rome).

¹² For general studies of *seviri* and *Augustales*, see Fishwick 1987 (in the context of the imperial cult), Duthoy 1976 and Abramenko 1993.

¹³ *CIL* XI 6839 = *ILS* 6668. "Lucius Ursius Sosander, Bononian clothes-dealer and *sevir*, made (this monument) while still living for himself and his most dutiful wife Rufria

Calybe, both from Cremona; (the tomb area extends) in width 26 feet and in length 20 feet.” Translations are my own unless otherwise noted.

¹⁴ Praetorians at Bononia: *CIL* XI 704, 706, and 708. Cf. Todisco 1999.

¹⁵ Cremona: *CIL* XI 347 (Ravenna); *AE* 1998: 408 (Regium Lepidum); *CIL* V 4399 = *ILS* 6702 (Brixia); *CIL* V 4392 = *ILS* 5631 (Brixia and Verona); *CIL* V 53 (Pola); *CIL* V 8274 (Aquileia); *CIL* V 977 = *ILS* 1468 (Aquileia and Concordia); *AE* 1978, 68; 1993, 51; 1997, 182; *CIL* VI 2942, 3641, 37217, 37229 (Rome); and *CIL* XIV 4007 (Ficulea).

¹⁶ Verona: *CIL* V 911 (Aquileia); *CIL* XI 6839 (Bononia); *CIL* V 4392 = *ILS* 5631 (Cremona and Brixia); *CIL* V 4392, 4416, 4418, 4443, 4492 (Brixia); *CIL* V 4485 (Brixia and Tridentum), *CIL* XI 6348 (Pisaurum); *CIL* VI 2452, 2474, 2580, 2657, 2765, 2766, 3888, 3892, 9124, 37220 (Rome); and *CIL* IX 4685 (Reate).

¹⁷ *CIL* V 1029 (Ateste); *CIL* V 7047 (Augusta Taurinorum); *IAquil.* 2.2761, 2.2829, and 2.2847 (Bononia); *CIL* XI 831 = *ILS* 1218, *CIL* V 4449 (Brixia); *ILS* 2069 (Cremona); *AE* 1998: 548, *CIL* III 10772, and *CIL* III 3836b (Emona); *IAquil.* 2.2845 (Faesulae); *CIL* V 1758 and *CIL* V 1768 (Forum Iulii); *CIL* X 6229 (Fundi); *CIL* V 7563 (Hasta); *CIL* V 886 (Intimilium); *CIL* XI 831 = *ILS* 1218, *IAquil.* 2.2755 (Mutina); *CIL* V 331 (Opitergium); *IAquil.* 64 (Patavium); *IAquil.* 2.2843 (Perusia); *AE* 1935, 9 and *AE* 1964, 212 (Placentia); *CIL* V 55, *CIL* V 71, and *CIL* V 118 (Pola); *AE* 2007: 355 (Privernum); *AE* 2001: 491 (Rome); *IAquil.* 3.3537 (Sentinum); *IAquil.* 516 (Tergeste); and *CIL* V 911 (Verona).

¹⁸ On the epigraphic habit at Aquileia, see Zaccaria 1989, Brusin 1991-1993, and Hope 2001.

¹⁹ This is the case with the connections with Perugia (*IAquil.* 2.2843), Ateste (*CIL* V 1029), and Intimilium (*CIL* V 886), and Faesulae (*IAquil.* 2.2845). On the praetorian presence at Aquileia, see Brusin 1991-1993: 960, Pavan 1979, Saddington 1988, and Keppie 2000: 115; on the limited naval presence, see Panciera 1978.

²⁰ On town size in northern Italy, see de Ligt 2008.

²¹ Beneventum: *CIL* IX 1194 (Aeclanum); *CIL* IX 1418 (Aequum Tuticum); *AE* 1972, 143 (Capua); Pagus Veianus (*CIL* IX 1503 = *ILS* 6508); Rome (*CIL* IV 32515); Telesia (*ILLRP* 676).

²² Tuder: *CIL* XI 4942 (Spoletium); *CIL* XI 5176 (Vettona); *CIL* VI 2559, 32520, 32638 (Rome).

²³ Personal connections created through primarily economic relationships are not as commonly attested in inscriptions (at least not explicitly) and are possibly underrepresented. Even exceptions such as *CIL* VI 29722 = *ILS* 7490 (Rome), which commemorates a Baetican oil trader at Rome, emphasize offices held within *collegia* and corporations. (For further discussion of *ILS* 7490, see Hasegawa 2008).

²⁴ Mediolanum: *CIL* V 1785 (Iulium Carnicum); *AE* 1991, 824 (Brixia); *CIL* V 5658 (Novaria and Comum); *CIL* V 5445 (Stabbio, Switzerland); *CIL* V 5749 (Forum Popilii and Modicia); *CIL* V 6348 (= *ILS* 6737), 6349 (= *ILS* 6738), 6345, 6346 (Laus Pompeia); *CIL* V 5277, 5713, *AE* 1947, 46 (Comum); *CIL* V 6630 (Novaria); *CIL* V 5216 = *ILS* 2722 (Bergomum and Otesinum); *CIL* V 5612 (Sibrium); *CIL* X 3599 (Misenum); *CIL* XIV 3545 = *ILS* 2642 (Tibur); *AE* 1999, 651 (Dertona); *AE* 1955, 24 (Antium); *CIL* IX

5752 = *ILS* 7582 (Ricina); *CIL* XI 1230 (Placentia); *CIL* VI 1409 (= *ILS* 1142), 2721, 2749, 3449; *ILS* 1123; *AE* 1916, 48 (Rome).

²⁵ Augusta Bagiennorum: *CIL* V 7604 = *ILS* 6749 (Alba Pompeia); *CIL* V 7153 (Alba Pompeia, Genua, and Aquae Statielliae), and *CIL* V 7670 (Pollentia). Brixia: *AE* 1991, 824 (Mediolanum); *CIL* V 4964 (Cammuni); *CIL* V 4399 = *ILS* 6702 (Cremona); *CIL* V 4392 = *ILS* 5631 (Cremona and Verona); *CIL* V 4416, 4443, 4492 (Verona); *CIL* V 4485 = *ILS* 6716 (Verona and Tridentum); *CIL* V 4439 (Tridentum); *CIL* V = *ILS* 5016 (Tridentum and Mantua); *CIL* VI 1441, 2430, 3482, 3560, 3905, and 37220 (Rome). Patavium: *IAquil.* 1.289 (Aquileia and Concordia); *CIL* V 2540 (Ateste); *CIL* V 2525 = *ILS* 6693 (Ateste and Concordia), and *CIL* VI 2701 (Rome). Ariminium: *CIL* V 1910 = *ILS* 7792 (Concordia); *CIL* XI 6378, 6354 (= *ILS* 6655); *AE* 1979, 84 (Pisaurum).

²⁶ Comum's network: *CIL* V 5518 (from San Biaggio, near Pavia); *CIL* V 5303, 5713; *AE* 1947, 46 (Mediolanum); *CIL* V 5667 (the Vercellenses honoring Pliny).

²⁷ Faesulae: *CIL* XIII 6957 (Mogontiacum), *ILS* 1429 (Ostia), *CIL* X 6097 (Formiae), *AE* 2002: 1153 (Carnuntum), *CIL* VI 2492 (Rome), *IAquil.* 2.2845 (Aquileia).

²⁸ Mediolanum: *AE* 1953, 188 (Lepcis Magna), *CIL* VIII 12467 (Carthage); *AE* 1992, 1470 (Potaissa), *AE* 1940, 25 (Narbo); *CIL* XIII 8071 (Bonna); *CIL* XIII 6967, 6975, 6982, 11853, 11855, 11858; *AE* 1904, 101; 1911, 234; 1965, 257 (Mogontiacum); *CIL* XIII 5979 (Argentorate); *ILS* 2330 (Poetovio), *CIL* III 14349.7 (Aquincum); *AE* 1972, 414 (Savaria); *AE* 1934, 270 (Carnuntum). Comum exhibits a similar, if much less extensive, pattern: *AE* 1907, 108b (Castra Regina, Raetia); *CIL* III 14998 (Burnum); and *CIL* III 14349.2 (Aquincum).

²⁹ *IRT* 606 = *AE* 1953, 18 = *IRT*2009 <http://inslib.kcl.ac.uk/irt2009/IRT606.html> (with a photo). Further discussion of *IRT* 606 can be found in Zaccharia 1994, Gregori 2002, Gregori 2008, and Morel 1969. On the *iuvenes* at Mediolanum, see Boscolo 2003. More general treatments of youth organizations in the Roman empire can be found in Giuliano 1979, Mohler 1937, and Ginestet 1991.

³⁰ The Albucii are clustered mostly in Liguria, and in the Transpadana around Mediolanum and Novara. On the Albucii, see *PIR*² A 488 and 489, as well as *CIL* V 5764, 5773, 5818, 5819, 5838-5840, 5918, 5936, 5939, 5955, 6000, and, for the Albucii at Mediolanum, *AE* 1998, 627.